UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,489	03/13/2007	Kenneth Aubrey	32860-001045/US	3812
	7590 06/23/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER		
P.O.BOX 8910			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/581,489	AUBREY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the content of the content	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/581,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heidel et al (US 6,289,261 B1) in view of Cassidy et al (US 5,615,625).

Regarding Claims 1-6 and 8-17, Heidel discloses a money operated machine (14) with removable money cassette (20).

Regarding Claims 1-2,6, 10, 12, 13, 15 Heidel does not expressly disclose, but Cassidy discloses a money cassette (20) having a rechargeable voltage source as mentioned at col. 4, lines 59-64 and col. 3, lines 32-40. A voltage source for recharging the battery is mentioned as element (92).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to have used Cassidy's intelligent money cassette in Heidel's money operated device for the purpose of securing cash in the cassette and providing tracking features.

Regarding Claims 3 and 14, offiical notice is taken that it would have been obvious to place Cassidy's storage/transportation frames in the form of station (18) on a vehicle such as an armored truck.

Regarding Claims 4 and 9, note Cassidy's charging station (18).

Regarding Claims 5 and 16, display (72) which is connected to battery level sensor (66).

4. Claims 8, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grainger et al (US 5,732,812) in view of Cassidy et al (US 5,615,625).

Regarding Claims 8 and 11, Grainger discloses a money operated machine (10) with removable money cassette (27).

Regarding Claims 8, 11 and 17, Grainger does not expressly disclose, but Cassidy discloses a money cassette (20) having a rechargeable voltage source as mentioned at col. 4, lines 59-64 and col. 3, lines 32-40. A voltage source for recharging the battery is mentioned as element (92).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to have used Cassidy's intelligent money cassette in Grainger's money operated parking device for the purpose of securing cash in the cassette and providing tracking features.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/581,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

(571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Shapiro/ Examiner, Art Unit 3653

June 19, 2009